A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Stéphane Bortzmeyer **AFNIC** bortzmeyer@nic.fr

RIPE 57 - Dubai - October 2008



A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Where are we in the talk?

- General presentation

General presentation

What is AFNIC

AFNIC is the registry for the TLD ".fr" (France).

51 employees, 1.2 million domain names and a quite recent R&D department.



General presentation

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Motivation

A DNS registry has a lot of information it does not use.

Our marketing team or the technical team are asking for all sort of things ("How many of our domains are used for e-mail only?") for which we **may** have the answer.



More specific motivation

Getting information about the deployment of new techniques like IPv6

We focus on things that we can obtain from the DNS because we are a domain name registry.

Possible surveys: IPv6, SPF, DNSSEC, EDNS0, Zonecheck...Let's build a multi-purpose platform for that!



General presentation

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Other aims

- 1. **Versatile**, able to do many different surveys (most known tools deal only with one survey).
- 2. Works unattended (from cron, for instance), for periodic runs,
- 3. Stores raw results, not just aggregates, for long-term analysis,
- 4. Designed to be distributable.



What we can learn from the DNS (and beyond)

- ▶ What we send **out**: active DNS queries sent to domain name servers.
- ▶ What comes **in**: DNS queries received by authoritative name servers, passively monitored ("Who knocks at the door and what are they asking for?").

We will work on both, study the long-term evolution and publish results.



General presentation

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Where are we in the talk?

- General presentation
- Measurements based on passive observations
- Measurements based on active queries
- Preliminary Results
- 5 Future work



Passive observation of queries

[Warning, not yet started.]

It will work by passive monitoring of the "fr" name servers. We are talking about long-term monitoring, not just the quick glance that DSC offers.

The idea is to address the needs of the R&D or of the marketing, not just the needs of the NOC.

It will work mostly by port mirroring.



Measurements based on passive observations

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Expected uses of the passive measurements

It will allow us to survey things like:

- Percentage of servers without SPR (Source Port Randomisation, see ".at" publications).
- Percentage of requests done over IPv6 transport (unlike DSC, we will be able to study long-term trends).
- ▶ Percentage of requests with EDNS0 or DO.
- ▶ Top N domains for which there is a NXDOMAIN reply.
- But the list is open...



Where are we in the talk?



Measurements based on passive observations

Measurements based on active queries

4 Preliminary Results

5 Future work



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Active queries

This is my main subject.

This is the realm of our **DNSwitness** program.

Announced here for the first time.

Related work

- ► Patrick Maigron's measurements on IPv6 penetration http://www-public.it-sudparis.eu/~maigron/
- ▶ JPRS, the ".jp" registry makes for a long time detailed measures on IPv6 use (not yet published, see http://v6metric.inetcore.com/en/index.html)
- "iis.se" "engine", part of their dnscheck tools, allows scanning the entire zone to test every subdomain is properly configured http: //opensource.iis.se/trac/dnscheck/wiki/Engine
- And many others



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

How it works

DNSwitness mostly works by asking the DNS. It loads a list of delegated zones and queries them for various records.

But it can also perform other queries: HTTP and SMTP tests, running Zonecheck...



The first algorithm

Crude version of DNSwitness (everyone at a TLD registry wrote such a script at least once). Here, to test SPF records:

```
for domain in $(cat $DOMAINS); do
    echo $domain
    dig +short TXT $domain | grep "v=spf1"
done
```

Problems: does not scale, a few broken domains can slow it down terribly, unstructured output, difficult to extend to more complex surveys.



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

The architecture

DNSwitness is composed of a generic socle, which handles:

- zone file parsing,
- and parallel querying of the zones.

and of a module which will perform the actual queries.



Modules

Thus, surveying the use of DNSSEC requires a DNSSEC module (which will presumably ask for DNSKEY records)

Surveying IPv6 deployment requires an IPv6 module (which will, for instance, ask for AAAA records for www.\$DOMAIN and stuff like that).

Not all techniques are amenable to DNS active querying: for instance, DKIM is not easy because we do not know the selectors.



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Using it

Warning about the traffic

DNSwitness can generate a lot of DNS requests. May be you need to warn the name servers admins. As of today, DNSwitness uses a caching resolver, to limit the strain on the network.

UUID

To sort out the results in the database, every run generates a unique identifier, a UUID and stores it.

Options, arguments, ...

Among the interesting options: run on only a random sample of the zone.

Complete usage instructions depend on the module



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Reading the results

Querying of the database depends on the module. Here, for DNSSEC:

SELECT domain, dnskey FROM Tests WHERE uuid='f72c33a6-7c3c-44e2-b743-7e67edf98

SELECT count(domain) FROM Tests WHERE uuid='f72c33a6-7c3c-44e2-b743-7e67edf98

AND nsec;

Implementation

- Written in Python,
- ▶ The generic socle and the querying module are separated,
- ▶ Most modules store the results in a PostgreSQL database (we provide a helper library for that),
- ▶ Uses the DNS library dnspython from Nominum.

Everything works fine on small zones.

Larger zones may put a serious strain on the machine and on some virtual resources (lack of file descriptors, hardwired limits of select() on Linux...).



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Parallelism

To avoid being stopped by a broken domain, DNSwitness is parallel.

N threads are run to perform the queries.

Measurements based on active queries

For ".fr" (1.2 million domains), the optimal number of threads is around 15,000. The results are obtained in a few hours.

Developing a module

Several modules are shipped with DNSwitness.

Should you want to develop one, you'll need mostly to write:

- 1. A class Result, with the method to store the result,
- 2. A class Plugin, with a method for the queries.

A Utils package is provided to help the module authors.



Measurements based on active queries

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

The example module

```
""" DNSwitness *dummy* module to illustrate what needs to be put in a
module. This module mostly prints things, that's all.

class DummyResult(BaseResult.Result):
    def store(self, uuid):
        print "Dummy storage of data for %s" % self.domain

class Plugin(BasePlugin.Plugin):
    def query(self, zone, nameservers):
        result = DummyResult()
        result.universe = 42 # Here would go the DNS query
        return result
```

Where are we in the talk?



Measurements based on passive observations

Measurements based on active queries

4 Preliminary Results

5 Future work



Preliminary Results

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Actual results

The data presented here were retrieved from ".fr" zones (17th october 2008).

No long-term studies yet, the program is too recent.

The resolver used was Unbound, the machine was a two-Opteron PC, running Debian/Linux.

DNSSEC in ".fr"

Four hours for the run.

49 domains have a key.

But only 37 are actually signed (may be because of an error, such as serving the unsigned version of the zone file).

Side note: ".fr" is not signed, one domain in ".fr" is in the ISC DLV.

27 AFNIC

Preliminary Results

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

SPF in .FR

[RFC 4408]

188108 domains have SPF (15 %).

But there are only 4350 different records:

- ▶ Popular records like v=spf1 a mx ?all
- ▶ One big hoster added SPF for all its domains. . .

IPv6 in .FR

We measure several things:

- Presence of AAAA records for NS and MX
- ▶ Presence of AAAA records for \$DOMAIN, www.\$DOMAIN, ...
- ▶ Whether the machines reply to HTTP or SMTP connections.



Preliminary Results

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

IPv6, DNS only

When testing just the DNS, DNSwitness module runs during four hours and gives:

51355 (4 %) domains have at least one AAAA (Web, mail, DNS...)

410 (0,03 %) have a AAAA for all of the above three services.

Among the hosts, 435 different addresses. 24 are 6to4 and 8 are local (a lot of ::1...).

IPv6, with HTTP and SMTP tests

78630 IP addresses, 67687 (86 %) being HTTP. (For different addresses, HTTP and SMTP are 50/50.)

Among the 78630 addresses, 73122 (92 %) work (HTTP reply, even 404 or 500).

Warning: spurious addresses like :: 1 are not yet excluded.

For the different addresses, only 292 (on 431, 67 %) work.



Preliminary Results

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Wildcards?

227190 (18 %) have wildcards for at least one type.

Distribution

http://www.dnswitness.net/

Distributed under the free software licence GPL.



Preliminary Results

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Where are we in the talk?

- General presentation
- Measurements based on passive observations
- Measurements based on active queries
- Preliminary Results
- 5 Future work

Future work on DNSwitness

- Asking directly the authoritative name servers, instead of going through a resolver.
- New modules, for instance testing the domains "email-only" or "web-only". Or a module for Zonecheck "patrols".



Future work

A versatile platform for DNS metrics with its application to IPv6

Future work on the rest of the project

- ▶ Gather more users. Yes, you :-)
- ► Come back in one year with trends.
- ▶ Start to develop the "DNS passive monitor". Thanks to the authors of dnscap, and similar programs.